Can CT be a progressive state or must we go backwards? Please support SB 630, to strengthen and extend Connecticut's Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS), which requires utilities to get a gradually-increasing percentage of energy from renewable sources, beyond 2020.

Please oppose <u>SB 106</u>, which would undercut our rapidly growing solar and wind industries by potentially allowing nuclear power to co-opt their incentives.

- 1. Problem: The Renewable Portfolio Standard targets end in 2020. Solution: State leaders need to extend these targets to 2050 and add interim targets along the way. To slow climate change, we must ramp up clean energy like solar and wind rapidly. Connecticut MUST get at least half of its energy from renewable sources by 2030.
- 2. Problem: Connecticut's Alternative Compliance Payments (ACP), fines imposed on utilities that do not to comply with the RPS, are the lowest in the region. This hurts Connecticut by encouraging electric suppliers to sell their renewable energy to other New England states at a higher price.

Solution: Connecticut legislators must increase the fines to encourage the utilities to follow the law.

Problem: ACP Funds are not currently generating more growth in renewables.

Solution: an bsp; The fines must be directed to clean energy and efficiency programs that have a proven track record of creating jobs and growth in renewables while reducing CO2 emissions—it's the best investment Connecticut can make right now!

WE must stand firm and be progressive in CT. There is a reason that climate change is a national security issue. Can the state of CT afford more natural disasters?

Thank you.

April Delgreco 32 Macintsoh Way Southington, CT 06489 860-621-2684